

Statistical analysis of spills from Western European cross-country oil pipelines 1971-2020

COPEX – October 2022- Brussels

Jean-François Larivé - on behalf of Concawe

Reproduction permitted with due © Concawe acknowledgement

Content

- 01
- The "CONCAWE" network
- 02

Safety record



Spillage statistics

- Number and frequency
- Spilled volumes
- Causes



Product Theft







An interactive map of the network is available on the Concawe website





The "Concawe" network by service and over time

- The inventory has increased over the years (mostly product lines) as more operators joined (NATO, former Eastern bloc)
- □ The vast majority of pipelines are "cold" (unheated)
- "Hot" pipelines have virtually all been retired

Today:

- □ 72 operators
- Over 35,000 km
- 162 pipeline systems split into 674 active sections
- Over 300 m³ of both crude and product transported annually





The "Concawe" network: Diameter distribution



Crude lines tend to be larger than product lines



The "Concawe" network: Age distribution



□ The average age of the inventory has steadily increased over the years

- In 1971 nearly all pipelines were 20 years old or less
- In 2020 nearly 80% of all pipelines were 40 years old or more







Safety record (in relation to spillage incidents)

□ No fatality, injury or fire reported since COPEX 2016

- □ 3 injuries reported since 1971
 - □ Last recorded injury was in 2006
- □ 14 fatalities in 46 years, none involving members of the public
 - □ Last recorded fatality was in 1999 (1 fatality)

□ 9 fires in 46 years

□ Last fire in 1999







Criteria for inclusion in the statistics

- □ Used for transporting crude oil or petroleum products
- □ >= 2 km in the public domain
- **Running cross-country**
 - □ including short estuary or river crossings
 - excluding under-sea and offshore systems
- Including pump stations, intermediate above-ground installations and intermediate storage facilities
- excluding origin and destination terminal facilities and tank farms

 \Box Spill > 1 m³ (unless in cases of exceptional safety or environmental consequences)



Spillage events

Since the beginning of the last decade, the game changer has been the very rapid increase of the number of product theft (successful or attempted), often resulting in a spill



By 2020, out of a total of 780 spillage events, 272 were caused by theft or attempted theft of product

In order not to distort the long-term statistics we account for these theft-related events separately



Spillage incidents (exc. Theft, all pipelines)



Because of the changes in the inventory over time, frequency is a more meaningful metric



Hot versus Cold pipelines



The frequency of failure in hot pipelines was an order of magnitude larger than for cold pipelines



Gross volume spilled



- □ The long term trend is downwards but single large spill events can distort yearly figures
 - □ These figures are not always accurate as for some events it can only be an estimate
- □ Typically 60 to 80% of the spilled volume is recovered



Spillage volume and net distribution



- □ In 50% of all events gross spillage was <25 m³ and net loss <7 m³
- □ 20% of events account for 80% of the gross spillage and 90% of the net loss
 - □ The picture has not changed much with time
- □ In about 5% of events spillage was less than the general 1 m³ cut off value (special circumstances)

Concawe

Ground area affected by spills



A relatively small spilled volume can contaminate a large area



Spillages per diameter class



Spillages are more frequent in smaller pipelines



Leak / spillage detection





Causes of spills categories

Primary		Secondary		Reason	
Α	Mechanical	Ab Desigr	and Materials	1	Incorrect design
				2	Faulty material
				3	Incorrect material specification
				4	Age or fatigue
		Aa Constr	ruction	5	Faulty weld
				6	Construction damage
				7	Incorrect installation
В	Operational	Ba Systen	n	8	Equipment
				9	Instrument & control systems
		Bb Humai	n	10	Not depressurised or drained
				11	Incorrect operation
				12	Incorrect maintenance or construction
				13	Incorrect procedure
С	Corrosion	Ca Extern	al	14	Coating failure
				15	Cathodic protection failure
		Cb Interna	al	16	Inhibitor failure
		Cc Stress	corrosion		
		crackir	ng		
D	Natural	Da Groun	d movement	20	Landslide
				21	Subsidence
				22	Earthquake
				23	Flooding
		Db Other			
Е	3rd Party	Ea Accide	ntal	17	Construction
				18	Agricultural
				19	Underground infrastructure
		Ec Incider	ntal		
		Eb Intentio	onal	24	Terrorist activity
				25	Vandalism
				26	Theft (incl. attempted)



Causes of spills: all events



On cold pipelines the main causes are mechanical and third party interference

Most spills on hot pipelines were corrosion-related

Hot lines have virtually all been shutdown





Causes of spills in cold pipelines over time



- □ The overall frequency has steadily decreased over time
- **D** Third party interference remains an important cause
- □ After an increase in the last decade mechanical causes have returned to historical levels
- □ The proportion of corrosion-related failures has increased in recent years but...



Mechanical and Corrosion causes frequency (cold pipelines)



The frequencies are still decreasing slowly over time
Ageing-related issues appear to be under control



Integrity Management: In-line inspections



Sophisticated in-line inspections have become the norm over the years, contributing to more effective failure prevention in the ageing network



Failure location



Most incidents occur in pipe runs except for Mechanical and Operational causes



Gross volume spilled by cause



Operational and corrosion related causes result in lower spilled volumes



Hole size

Pinhole	Less than 2 mm x 2 mm			
Fissure	2 to 75 mm long x 10% max wide			
Hole	2 to 75 mm long x 10% min wide			
Split	75 to 1000 mm long x 10% max wide			
Rupture	>75 mm long x 10% min wide			

There is no significant correlation between cause and hole size



Larger holes lead to bigger spills?



Circumstances of third party spills



Most third party related spills occur during digging or trenching activities



Mutual awareness of activities



- In nearly 50% of cases the third party is aware of the presence of a pipeline but the pipeline company is not informed of potentially hazardous activities near the pipeline
- Incidents occur even when both parties are mutually aware
- In some 12% of cases neither party is aware of the other © Concawe





Product theft



- □ The problem is not new, but the frequency is...
- □ Although a small number of countries were particularly affected, the geographic spread was wide



Theft-related spillages



□ In the middle of the decade, theft accounted for over 90% of reported spillages

Concerted efforts by operators and authorities have addressed the issue

- □ We have passed the peak but...
- □ The problem has not disappeared





Putting the report together

- This information is extracted from the data YOU supply
- The 2021 data is being collected... slowly

The report is used extensively in the Industry including pipeline risk assessment, support and/or challenge of regulations, operators to focus on high risk and high consequence events

Please respond promptly and ensure the data is filled as compeletely as possible

The quality of the report depends on the quality of your data





www.concawe.eu

Thank you for your attention

Jean-François Larivé