



# Industry Perspective on BAT and BREF

Georges De Caluwé, ExxonMobil Chairman of CONCAWE Task Force on the Revision of the Refining BREF

**9<sup>th</sup> CONCAWE Symposium** 

14-15<sup>th</sup> March 2011

conservation of clean air and water in europe

- **1. CONCAWE comments on draft version Chapter 1 to 4**
- 2. The total refinery bubble concept as proposed by CONCAWE
- 3. Further planned activities in 2011

### Draft Chapters 1 to 4 of Refining BREF Revision commented by CONCAWE.

- ~1400 comments received by EIPPCB
  - ~700 comments from CONCAWE and Member Companies

### **Key CONCAWE comments reflect :**

- Content which does not fit the purpose of Chapter 3 –'Current Emissions and Consumption levels' or Chapter 4 – 'Techniques to consider in the determination of BAT'
  - Bubble ranges based on BREF questionnaire statistics don't reflect performance of individual abatement techniques
  - Suggestion to use a combination of all techniques (sniffing, camera, DIAL, SOF) for VOC Monitoring not appropriate for Chapter 3.
  - Ranges for Waste Water Treatment based on 50 percentile BREF questionnaire data not appropriate for Chapter 4

#### Scope of Chapters 3 and 4

- Inclusion of PM and CO in bubble concept
- Include wording that not all RFG is amine treated.

#### Chapter 4 performance ranges

- Increase upper SO<sub>2</sub> range for amine treated RFG to 50 mg/Nm3 to account for effect of fuel gas composition on emission concentration in the flue gas.
- Low NOx Burner performance to be expressed as concentration ranges instead of % NOx removal
- > Align performance ranges for existing Vapor Recovery Unit to Stage 1 Directive.

#### Technical description and editing

- **Proposal submitted to EIPPCB and discussed in TWG subgroup** meeting May 2010
- **CONCAWE** proposes a two-step approach:
  - **1. Establish an AEL range for the combustion bubble based on the** variability of fuel firing and fuel composition in European Refineries
  - 2. Combine the combustion bubble range derived in step 1 with AELs for the contributing processes (e.g. FCCU, SRU) to derive a *site-specific* total refinery bubble.
  - The proposal recognises:
    - There are large variations in refinery configuration across Europe.
    - The continued use of liquid fuels is a technical/economical necessity for a significant part of the European refining sector.

Total Refinery Bubble Concentration Range =

FL<sub>comb</sub>\* [Comb] + FL<sub>FCC</sub>\*[FCC] + FL<sub>SRU</sub>\*[SRU]

- Whereby:
  - [Comb] = the refinery combustion bubble AEL range.
  - [FCC] = the FCC stack concentration AEL range
  - [SRU] = the SRU stack concentration AEL range
    - **FL**<sub>comb</sub> = the fraction of combustion flue gas to total flue gas volume
  - FL<sub>FCC</sub> = the fraction of FCC flue gas to total flue gas volume
  - FL<sub>SRU</sub> = the fraction of SRU flue gas to total flue gas volume

#### Notes:

- **Bubble concentration in mg/Nm3 @ 3% O<sub>2</sub> on a dry flue gas basis**
- Additional process units would extend the equation

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement

9<sup>th</sup> CONCAWE Symposium 14<sup>th</sup> & 15<sup>th</sup> March 2011

- The flue gas rates for the process units and the flue gas rates from combustion need to be known and expressed on a common basis.
- Use an equal energy basis of IEA standard fuel oil equivalent (foe) of 41.868 MJ/kg for fuels using lower heating value (LHV)
  - Convert fuel quantity to foe = (fuel quantity) \* LHV/41.868
  - Industry values:

Flue gas volume combustion and FCC Coke	Nm3/kg foe
RFO	12.2
Natural Gas	11.7
RFG	11.4
FCC Coke	12.0

- **Specific Rules for special fuels** 
  - **5.3** Nm<sup>3</sup>/kg for dry sour water stripper gas
  - Explicit calculation for low Joule Gas,
    - ▶ Define (w/w basis) : a = CO, b = H<sub>2</sub>, c = C<sub>1</sub>, d = C<sub>2</sub>, e = C<sub>3</sub>, f = C<sub>4</sub>, g = C<sub>5</sub>, h = inerts.
    - Flue gas Volume (Nm3/kg foe) = (a\*2.47+b\*24.57+c\*13.92+d\*13.23+e\*12.95+f\*12.81+g\*12.7+h\*0.93) \*41.868/LHV
- Sulphur Recovery Unit
  - > 1.5 Nm<sup>3</sup>/ kg of dry acid gas.

Reproduction permitted with due acknowledgement

9th CONCAWE Symposium 14th & 15th March 2011

## Data collection:

- Cost of amine treatment of fuel gas
- NOx emission from existing turbines

# > 2011 Update of Sulphur Survey:

Include FCC NOx emission data.